

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 \* \* \*

6 RAY SHARPE,

Case No. 2:22-cv-01624-MMD-NJK

7 v.

Plaintiff,

ORDER

8 RUIZ, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.

10

11  
12 On November 29, 2022, the Court issued a screening order permitting three claims  
13 to proceed and dismissing the remaining claims with leave to amend by December 29,  
14 2022. (ECF No. 5 at 12.) The Court subsequently extended the deadline to amend, giving  
15 Plaintiff Ray Sharpe until January 19, 2023, to file a first amended complaint. (ECF No.  
16 8.)

17 On January 19, 2023, the Court received Sharpe's *pro se* first amended complaint.  
18 (ECF No. 11.) That same day, counsel entered a notice of appearance on behalf of  
19 Sharpe. (ECF No. 12.) Counsel indicates that she was retained to represent Sharpe on  
20 January 18, 2023, and that she advised Sharpe that she would seek permission to file a  
21 "counseled, amended complaint on his behalf." (ECF No. 13 at 4.) Accordingly, Sharpe,  
22 through his counsel, filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Amended Complaint (ECF  
23 No. 13), a Motion to Strike Plaintiff's *Pro Se* Amended Complaint (ECF No. 14), and a  
24 Motion for Extension of Time to Serve Defendants. (ECF No. 15.)

25 The Court construes Sharpe's Motion for Extension of Time to File Amended  
26 Complaint as a request for leave to file a second amended complaint. (ECF No. 13 at 1  
27 n.1 (noting that "[i]n the alternative, this Motion should be construed as a request to file a  
28 Second Amended Complaint".) Sharpe's counsel states that "the complaint needs to be

1 amended by an attorney who has experience with civil rights cases." (*Id.* at 4.) The Court  
 2 finds that leave to amend is appropriate in these circumstances. See Fed. R. Civ. P.  
 3 15(a)(2) ("The court should freely give leave [to amend] when justice so requires.").  
 4 Accordingly, the Court grants Sharpe's construed Motion for Leave to File a Second  
 5 Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 13.) Sharpe must file his second amended complaint on  
 6 or before February 22, 2023. Because the Court grants Sharpe leave to file a second  
 7 amended complaint, there is no need to strike the first amended complaint, and the Court  
 8 denies as moot Sharpe's motion (ECF No. 14) requesting such relief.

9 Finally, Sharpe seeks to extend the deadline to serve Defendants to March 20,  
 10 2023. (ECF No. 15.) The Court denies Sharpe's request as premature. This case is still  
 11 in the screening stage. "In cases where a court is required to screen a complaint before  
 12 it may be served, it is generally accepted that the service deadline prescribed by [Federal  
 13 Rule of Civil Procedure] 4(m) does not begin to run until the date the court authorizes  
 14 service of the complaint." *Much v. Langston*, Case No. 16-cv-0863, 2018 WL 5905827,  
 15 at \*7 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2018), *adopted* by 2018 WL 5906044 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 9, 2018).  
 16 Because the Court has yet to enter an order regarding service, the 90-day service  
 17 deadline has not begun to run. Once Sharpe files his second amended complaint, the  
 18 Court will screen that pleading under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. If the second amended  
 19 complaint states a colorable claim for relief, the Court will refer this action to the Inmate  
 20 Early Mediation Program. If the parties are unable to reach a settlement at mediation, the  
 21 Court will then enter an order directing that service of the second amended complaint be  
 22 completed within 90 days.

23 It is therefore ordered that Sharpe's construed Motion for Leave to File a Second  
 24 Amended Complaint (ECF No. 13) is granted. Sharpe must file his second amended  
 25 complaint on or before *February 22, 2023*.

26 It is further ordered that Sharpe's Motion to Strike Plaintiff's *Pro Se* Amended  
 27 Complaint (ECF No. 14) is denied as moot.

28 ///

It is further ordered that Sharpe's Motion for Extension of Time to Serve Defendants (ECF No. 15) is denied as premature.

DATED THIS 23<sup>rd</sup> Day of January 2023.

  
MIRANDA M. DU  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE